High School

MATH & SCIENCE LESSON 3 Hilleman & Vaccines

LEARNING GOALS

This series of lessons will allow students to use mathematics to improve scientific and mathematical literacy, and combine the two to help students understand where humans are in the context of a pandemic, especially during the development of an entirely new vaccine. Students will understand the development and use of a variety of vaccines.

WHERE DOES THIS FIT INTO YOUR CURRICULUM?

🖉 Матн

Using simulations as models and then applying computational thinking to understand processes

Using probability and statistics to understand population dynamics

SCIENCE

Understanding the spread of diseases in populations

Understanding the development and use of a variety of vaccines and the process of achieving herd immunity to stabilize the human population during a pandemic

Understanding how genetic mutations occur and their effect on organisms and the stability of a system



MATHEMATICAL PRACTICES

Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.	it Reason abstractly and quantitatively.
Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.	Model with mathematics.
it use appropriate tools strategically.	Mattend to precision.
Evok for and make use of structure.	Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning.

MATHEMATICAL STANDARDS

Statistics and Probability: Interpreting Categorical and Quantitative Data (S.ID1,2,3, 5, 6a,6b, 6c).	Conditional Probability and the Rules of Probability (S.CP 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8).
Making Inferences and Justifying Conclusions (S.IC, 1,2,3,4,5,6).	Wing Probability to Make Decisions: (S.MD 6,7).



NEXT GENERATION SCIENCE STANDARDS ALIGNMENT

HS-LS2-1: Interdependent Relationships in Ecosystems

Use mathematical and/or computational representations to support explanations of factors that affect carrying capacity of ecosystems at different scales.

HS-LS3-2 Inheritance and Variation of Traits

Make and defend a claim based on evidence that inheritable genetic variations may result from (1) new genetic combinations through meiosis, (2) viable errors occurring during replication, and/or (3) mutations caused by environmental factors.

HS-LS3-3 Inheritance and Variation of

Traits

Apply concepts of statistics and probability to explain the variation and distribution of expressed traits in a population.





MONTANA SCIENCE STANDARDS

Crosscutting Concepts: Cause and effect; proportion and quantity, and systems and system models.

LS2. A: Use mathematical or computational representations to support arguments about environmental factors that affect carrying capacity, biodiversity, and populations in ecosystems.

LS3. B: Apply concepts of statistics and probability to explain the variation and distribution of expressed traits in a population.

Science and Engineering Practices:

Developing and using models; analyzing and interpreting data; using mathematics and computational thinking, constructing explanations as it applies to science.

LS3.B: Make and defend a claim based on evidence from multiple sources that inheritable genetic variation may result from:

New genetic combinations through meiosis

- o Viable errors occurring during replication
- Mutations caused by environmental factors





Lesson 3 Vaccine Efficacy Hilleman & Vaccines



INSTRUCTIONS

Have students present their graphs from the previous period. Discuss as a class.

ENGAGEMENT

Introduce vaccine efficacy by explaining that vaccines undergo a rigorous safety process, including review of every study, phrase and trial by an independent safety board of experts and the FDA, before a vaccine is authorized for use in the United States public. Each student will receive a copy of the data sets for trials involving a variety of vaccines, including the Moderna and Pfizer mRNA vaccines for COVID-19.

Hand out copies of the vaccine data sets (x-xx) to each student. Have them study the pages for 5-10 minutes and pose 3 statistical questions on the provided worksheet.

Discuss as a class whether the data could be used to answer those questions.

OBJECTIVES

Students will learn

- 1. That statistical questions are questions that can be answered by collecting data that vary.
- 2. Graphs can be used to communicate statistical data

PREPARATION

Make copies of the student pages, one per student Review the links in Lesson 2 for potential questions that may come up about the COVID-19 pandemic.

ASSESSMENT

Students will create a graph to address one of their statistical questions and will present it to the class. Include a required note card and have students describe the disease and the what the abbreviations stand for.



LESSON INSTRUCTIONS - PRESENTATION RUBRIC Lesson 3: Vaccine Efficacy

PRESENTATION RUBRIC FOR STUDENT GRAPHS

	Minimal (1 pt.)	Basic (2 pts.)	Proficient (3 pts.)	Advanced (4 pts.)
Duration	A graph was displayed with little explanation.	The presentation was 1-2 minutes	The presentation was at least 2-3 minutes.	The presentation was exactly 3 minutes, but not over three.
Subject Knowledge	Student does not have grasp of information presented in graph.	Student is uncomfortable with information and is unable to answer questions.	Student demonstrates subject knowledge, is at ease with all questions but fails to elaborate.	Student demonstrates full knowledge by answering questions with explanations and elaboration.
Terminology	Student did not try to incorporate appropriate terminology	Student made some attempt to use mathematical terminology, but was not always accurate.	Student used some mathematical terminology but missed key moments.	Student used all of the correct mathematical terminology.
Explanations	Student left out two pieces of the explanation.	Students left out one piece of the explanations.	Students explained the three pieces fairly well.	Students explained why they chose their data, described what the axes represented, and explained their findings.



COVID-19 VACCINES

COVID-19 is caused by a coronavirus first identified in Wuhan, China in December 2019. The disease frequently carries mild symptoms but can cause severe illness and death. Individuals who have underlying conditions are at greater risk of developing severe illness from the virus. Coronaviruses are common in people and several different species of animals and some cause mild upperrespiratory tract illnesses.

'CO' stands for 'corona', VI for 'virus' and D for disease. The 19 comes from the year it was first recorded. The formal name for the virus is SARS-CoV-2, and it is similar to other beta coronaviruses like MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV. Like these other viruses, it likely developed from an animal host, but the source has not yet been conclusively identified.



This transmission electron microscope image shows SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, isolated from a patient in the U.S. Virus particles are shown emerging from the surface of the cells cultured in the lab. The spikes on the outer edges of the virus particles give coronaviruses their name, crown-like. Image captured and colorized at NIAID's Rocky Mountain Laboratories (RML) in Hamilton, Mont.

Image courtesy NIAID

The first reported cases of COVID-19 in the United States occurred in January 2020. In March 2020, state and local governments began enforcing widespread public health orders such as social distancing and sanitation. Many businesses were closed and airlines were grounded in an effort to contain the virus. Regulations fell primarily to the state level and were developed by public health authorities.

By March 2021, the United States listed over 29 million cases and over 500,000 deaths. In Montana, there have been over 101,000 cumulative cases and 1,392 reported deaths.

TRIBAL SOVEREIGNTY

As sovereign nations with the authority to legislate policy within their borders, Montana's tribes instigated several measures to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 on their reservations. They created unified task forces with county governments, closed roads to non-essential travel, instituted checkpoints, and closed recreational areas.

According to the Indian Health Service, infection rates for American Indian and Alaska Native populations are more than 3.5 times higher than non-hispanic whites, and Indigenous peoples are four times likely to be hospitalized from COVID-19. A November 2019 Public Health and Human Services report stated that, in Montana, indigenous peoples comprise 16 percent of the state's COVID-19 cases and 12 percent of the state's total deaths from the virus.



Montana's urban Indian health centers and Indian Health Service (IHS) sites chose to receive their vaccines from the IHS. Other tribal health centers are working with the state.

VACCINES

Scientists at Rocky Mountain Labs began running experiments on the novel coronavirus before the first cases were confirmed in January 2020 and soon shifted all research efforts to the Covid-19 illness. Called "the center of the universe" in regards to Covid-19 research by a May 2020 New York Times article, the lab's five research teams conducted vaccine trials, built reliable animal models to grow the coronavirus in cell cultures, submitted research on effective ultraviolet light disinfection methods for N95 masks, and even provided the microscope images of the spiked coronavirus that accompanied all the articles on the disease during the pandemic.

Scientists at RML partnered with a team in Austin, Texas and were able to define the structure of the SARS-CoV-2 protein and go to work on a vaccine using messenger RNA (mRNA). The team based their work on their earlier research on the MERS virus, which first appeared in 2012. Through a partnership with Moderna pharmaceutical company, the vaccine was developed and approved for emergency production in late 2020 by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration.

The Moderna and Pfizer mRNA vaccines require two doses, the first of which starts building protection and the second, which boosts efficacy. In early 2021, a third vaccine was added to the Federal Drug Administration emergency approval list, requiring a single dose.

Like all vaccines, these are designed to teach the body's immune system to recognize and fight off the virus. By March 2021, over 107 million vaccines were administered in the United States, with over 300,000 doses administered in Montana.

Developing a vaccine in the middle of a pandemic necessitates moving quickly, but the safety of these vaccines remained paramount. To increase speed, phases one and two of clinical trials were combined and vaccines batches were made while the clinical trials were being completed. Because of this process, there would be doses ready to ship when the vaccine was approved. If the vaccine was not approved, those doses would have been thrown away. Phase three trials, which include tens of thousands of participants, and the vaccine review process did not change. This process includes both the FDA and a special committee of independent investigators, known as the Vaccine and Related Biologics Product Advisory Committee. Extra monitoring for the COVID-19 vaccines was also added.



Lesson 3: Vaccine Efficacy

MMR AND VARICELLA PROQUAD VACCINE

Measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella vaccination data for babies vaccinated at 12 months old and again at 15 months old, with the ProQuad Vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., 2018).

Initial Study Data

Note: The final data only included babies for whom they could collect all of the safety data.

Number Who Received Dose 1	470
Number Who Received Dose 2	462
Percentage Who Were Female	47.9
Percentage Who Were Male	52.1

Dose 1 efficacy based on immunogenicity response criteria (must have a certain amount of or antibodies/mL of blood. Antibody production): for measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella (chicken pox) vaccinations

Note: Confidence interval is 95%

Measles	
Number of Participants	438
Percentage of showing Immunogenicity (mean)	90.2
Mumps	
Number of Participants	417
Percentage Showing Immunogenicity (mean)	98.3
Rubella	
Number of Participants	447
Percentage Showing Immunogenicity	98
Varicella	
Number of Participants	353
Percentage Showing Immunogenicity (mean)	97.5

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. Comparative Study of Immunogenicity and Safety of a 2-Dose Regimen of ProQuad Manufactured with rHA (V221-038). clinicaltrials.gov. <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT00566527?term=proquad&draw=2&rank=4&view=results</u>. Updated January 30, 2018.



STUDENT PAGE - DATA SETS Lesson 3: Vaccine Efficacy

MMR AND VARICELLA PROQUAD VACCINE

Measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella vaccination data for babies vaccinated at 12 months old and again at 15 months old, with the ProQuad Vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., 2018).

Dose 2 efficacy based on immunogenicity response criteria (must have a certain amount of antibody production): for measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella (chicken pox) vaccinations

Measles	
Number of Participants	434
Percentage of showing Immunogenicity (mean)	98.8
Mumps	
Number of Participants	414
Percentage Showing Immunogenicity (mean)	99.5
Rubella	
Number of Participants	443
Percentage Showing Immunogenicity	99.5
Varicella	
Number of Participants	347
Percentage Showing Immunogenicity (mean)	100

Note: Confidence interval is 95%

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. Comparative Study of Immunogenicity and Safety of a 2-Dose Regimen of ProQuad Manufactured with rHA (V221-038). clinicaltrials.gov. <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/</u>results/NCT00566527?term=proquad&draw=2&rank=4&view=results. Updated January 30, 2018.



HAEMOPHILUS INFLUENZAE TYPE B VACCINE DATA

In the United States, Haemophilus influenzae type b is the top cause of invasive bacterial issues for kids and causes what we typically hear of, meningitis. Type b is the kind of polysaccharide capsule surrounding the bacteria. Before the use of vaccinations, one in 200 children were affected with 60% of them developing meningitis, 3-6% died, and 20-30% had hearing loss and mental retardation. Two thirds of the children affected are under 15 months of age in the U.S. The original vaccines, from the 1970s, showed 90% efficacy but only in children who were older than 18 months. Conjugate vaccines link a T-cell dependent antigen to the bacterial capsule to elicit an immune response in younger children. Now, there are two conjugate vaccines licensed for infants at 2 months of age. The two vaccines are HbOC and PRP-OMP. For these, it is believed that 1 microgram/mL is an indication of long-term immunity (MMWR Recommendations and Reports, 1991).

Vaccine Type and Participants	Percent Efficacy After the Required Regimen
HbOC (3 doses)	100
Number of Participants	60,000
PRP-OMP (2 doses)	93
Number of Participants (All Navajo infants)	3,486

BIBLIOGRAPHY

MMWR Recommendations and Reports. Haemophilus b Conjugate Vaccines for Prevention of Haemophilus influenzae type b Disease Among Infants and Children Two Months of Age and Older Recommendations of the ACIP. cdc.gov. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00041736.</u> <u>htm</u>. Published January 11, 1991.



HEPATITIS B VACCINE DATA

Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) affects 850,000 people in the United States, and based on data from the countries of immigrants to the U.S., the number is estimated at 2.2 million people in the U.S. who live with HBV. The CDC was told of 3,370 cases of acute HBV in the year 2015, but it was estimated that the number was 6.5 times higher. Since the HepB vaccination recommendations were issued in 1982, there has been an 88.5% decrease in HBV infections. Injection-drug use has caused spikes in HBV infections. To prevent the spread of HBV, in 1991, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) began requiring the testing of all pregnant women, the vaccination of all children and adolescents who had not been vaccinated, vaccination of at-risk adults, and vaccination of all infants at birth within the first 24 hours. People who have antibodies to the hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs) at levels grater than or equal to 10 mIU/mL 1-2 months after the series of 3 doses are considered immune. Seroprotection decreases with increasing age at which people receive the vaccine, it is better to receive this vaccine while young (Schillie, Vellozzi, Reingold, Harris, Haber, et al., 2018).

Dose Number in Different Age Groups	Percent Efficacy After Each Dose
Ages 19-35 Months	
Dose Number 1	25
Dose Number 2	63
Dose Number 3	95
Adults Under Age 40	
Dose 1	30-55
Dose 2	75
Dose 3	90

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Schillie, S., Vellozzi, C., Reingold, A., Harris, A. Haber, P. et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/67/rr/rr6701a1.</u> <u>htm .</u> Published January 12, 2018.



PFIZER COVID-19 VACCINE - PHASE 3 DATA (POLACK, ET. AL., 2020)

Initial Study Data

Age	Greater than or equal to 16 years
Number of Participants	45348
Number Who Received Dose 1	21720
Number Who Received Dose 2	21728
Days Before Receiving Dose 2	21
Percentage of Males	52.7
Percentage of Females	47.3
Median Age (years)	52
Age Range in Years	18-95
Percentage Aged Greater than 55 Years	52
Participants with No Current or Prior COVID-19 infection	36523
Confidence Interval Percentage	95

Note: Efficacy was based on confirmed cases per 1000 person-years in the vaccine group compared to the placebo group.)

Efficacy Percentage	95
Dosage micrograms/dose	30

Primary End Point: Prevent symptomatic COVID-19 7 days after the second dose was given, for patients who were seronegative at baseline (no traces of COVID-19 infection). Secondary End Point: Prevent Severe COVID-19

Treatment Group Participants Positive After Day 7	8
Placebo Group Participants Positive After Day 7	162

Primary End Point Null Hypothesis	Efficacy is 30% or less	(Rejected)
Percent Efficacy Between Doses 1 and 2		52

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Polack, P.F., Thomas, S.J., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., Lockhart, S., Perez, J.L., M.D., Marc, G.P., Moreira, E.D., Zerbini, C., Bailey, R., Swanson, K.A., et.al. (2020) Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. The New England Journal of Medicine, 383, 2603-2615. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2034577



STUDENT PAGE - DATA SETS Lesson 3: Vaccine Efficacy

MODERNA COVID-19 VACCINE- PHASE 3 DATA (BADEN, ET AL., 2021)

Initial Study Data

Age	Greater than or equal to 18 years
Number of Participants	30420
Number Who Received Dose 1	15210
Number Who Received Placebo Dose 1	15210
Days Before Receiving Dose 2	28
Percentage of Males	52.7
Percentage of Females	47.3
Median Age (years)	51.4
Age Range in Years	18-95
Ages 18-65 percentage	75.2
Percentage Aged Greater than 65 Years	24.8
Confidence Interval Percentage	95
Placebo Participants with Confirmed COVID	185
Treatment Participants with Confirmed COVID	11

Note: Efficacy was based on percentage reduction in the hazard ratio for the primary end point (mRNA-1273 vs. placebo)

Efficacy Percentage After Dose 2	94.1
Dosage micrograms/dose	100
Efficacy Percentage After Dose 1	95.2

Primary End Point: Prevent symptomatic COVID-19 7 days after the second dose was given, for patients who were seronegative at baseline (no traces of COVID-19 infection). Secondary End Point Goal: Prevent Severe COVID-19. Secondary End Point: Prevent COVID-19 after the first dose.

Primary End Point Null Hypothesis	Efficacy is 30% or less	(Rejected)
Secondary End Point Efficacy Percentage for preventing		100
Severe COVID		

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Baden, L.R., El Sahly, H.M., Essing, B., Kotloff, K., Frey, S., Novak, R., Diemert, D., Spector, S.A., Rouphael, N., Creech, C.B., McGettigan, J., Khetan, S., et al. (2020). Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. The New England Journal of Medicine, 384, 403-416. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa2035389

Polio Vaccine Data for Inactivated Polio Vaccine (IPV) and Oral Polio Vaccine (OPV)

IPV). Gastrointestinal immunity occurs more effectively after two doses of OPV, and if two doses of IPV are given first, then patients are antibody-protected against developing VAPP from the OPV. Currently in the United States, IPOL is an IPV and is the only one used. The IPV-OPV schedules are an ongoing study. Children receive 4 doses of IPV, scheduled at 2 second form of vaccine and contained the live attenuated Sabin strain. New recommendations from the Advisory Committee on poliovirus type 2 and most frequently by type 1. OPV There are three serotypes of poliovirus, types 1, 2, and 3 (P1, P2, & P3). Paralytic disease is caused least frequently by poliovirus type 2 and most frequently by type 1. OPV was the was the second form of vaccine and contained the live attenuated Sabin strain. New recommendations from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) require two United States since 1979. Cases occur only once per 2.4 million doses, but this is enough for the recommended changes and VAPP is currently estimated to occur once per 750,000 doses of IPV followed by two doses of OPV, because OPV causes vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis (VAPP) and has been the only cause of paralytic poliomyelitis in the people receiving the first dose. People can be reinfected and secrete wild or vaccine strains in their feces when using only IPV even in the newer, more potent vaccine (enhanced months, 4 months, 6 months, and 4 years of age or Kindergarten shots. Some countries already use IPV-OPV schedules in part to control the spread of the wild polio virus in feces. Some countries only use OPV, especially where there are still outbreaks and in places where it could be more difficult to stick to a schedule like that which is required for IPV alone. The enhanced potency IPV was licensed in the U.S. in 1987 and is used because the U.S. has eradicated the wild polio virus. Therefore, there is no risk of polio virus spreading through feces. Some other countries do still have this risk, so they do use OPV (MMWR Recommendations and Reports) (7661

	Ages and (months)	id Types	Ages and Types of Injections (months)	ions	Efficacy Type Afi	Efficacy For Each Polio Type After Dose 2	Polio	Efficacy Type Afi	Efficacy For Each Polio Type After Dose 3	Polio	Efficacy For After Dose 4	Efficacy For Each Polio Type After Dose 4	olio Type	Number of Participants
Studies	2	4	9	12-15	P1	P2	P3	P1	P2	P3	P1	P2	P3	
1: Enhance IPV and Live OPV	IPV	IPV		IPV	66	66	66	66	100	100				331
	IPV	IPV		IPV	66	100	100	100	100	100				332
	Live OPV	OPV		OPV	92	100	96	97	100	100				337
2: IPV Grown in vero cells	IPV	IPV		IPV	96	100	96	96	100	100				91
	OPV	OPV		OPV	100	100	100	100	100	100				22
	IPV	OPV		OPV	94	100	94	100	100	100				29
	IPV	IPV		OPV	100	100	100	100	100	100				29
							L							
3: IPV + DTP	IPV	IPV		IPV	97	92	75	100	100	100				101
	OPV	OPV		OPV	95	100	90	95	100	100				98
	IPV	IPV		OPV	90	93	74	97	100	85				98
	ΙΡV	IPV	OPV	OPV	89	96	71	94	100	81	95	100	98	106
	IΡV	IPV/	OPV	OPV	96	100	85	93	66	26	95	100	100	101
		OVP												

Note: This data comes from studies in the United States using different combinations of IPV, OPV, or IPV-OPV schedules.

4: IPV Grown in Vero, IPV	IPV IPV	IΡV		IPV	97	96	95	100	100	100				94
+ DTP	IPV IPV	IΡV			98	100	98		100	100				68
	IPV IPV	IPV		ΟPV	94	95	96	100	100	96				75
	IPV IPV	IΡV			66	66	95		100	100				99
5: IPV + DTP	IPΛ	IΡV	IPV IPV IPV	OPV	98	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	97
	IΡV	IΡV	IPV IPV OPV	OPV	100	97		100	100	100	100	100	100	96
	IPV	IPV		OPV	95	96	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	91
			OPV											

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Inactivated Poliovirus Vaccine Followed by Oral Poliovirus Vaccine; Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices MMWR Recommendations and Reports. Poliomyelitis Prevention in the United States: Introduction of a Sequential Vaccination Schedule of (ACIP). cdc.gov. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00046568.htm. Published January 24, 1997.

le	
\leq	
£.	
08	

DTP VACCINE DATA

Tripedia was the first DTaP vaccine licensed in the United States in 1996. Other bacterial parts of the pertussis vaccine include filamentous hemagglutinin was enough to begin the search for a better form of the pertussis portion of the vaccine. Acellular pertussis vaccines use inactivated pertussis toxin (PT) vaccine for the first four doses. When using DTaP, it must have a separate injection site than that of Hib. In the U.S., the whole-cell DTP is shown to be vaccine has been used since the 1940s, and is 70-90% effective at preventing severe disease. However, the risk for severe events following vaccination (FHA), pertactin (Pn), and fimbriae (Fim). Currently, Tripedia, ACEL-IMUNE, and INFANRIX TM are licensed in the U.S., but only ACEL-IMUNE is licensed for the fifth dose. There are four whole-cell DTP vaccines licensed in the U.S. and they can still be used and can be combined with the Hib and other bacterial parts to create the vaccine. The aP in the DTaP vaccine indicates that the pertussis portion does not include whole cells (acellular) The original DTP vaccines included diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and inactivated, whole-cell Bordetalla pertussis bacterial cells. This form of the 70-90% effective a preventing severe pertussis disease (MMWR Recommendations and Reports, 1997).

Efficacy Data for Tripedia, ACEL-IMUNE, and Whole-Cell DTP

Note: The confidence interval was 95% for all studies.

were Administered Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT) 2, 4 and 6 months Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT) 2, 4 and 6 months nunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT) 2, 4 and 6 months nunogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for Pertussis 2, 4 and 6 months Immunogenicity to Tetanus 2 Immunogenicity to Tetanus 2 Immunogenicity to Diphtheria 2 cacy for Pertussis 2 Immunogenicity to Diphtheria 2 cacy for Pertussis 2 Immunogenicity to Pertussis 2 Immunogenicity to Tetanus 2 Immunogenicity to Diphtheria	Studies	Ages at Which Vaccinations
e Tripedia Vaccine 2, 4 and 6 months Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT) 2, 4 and 6 months nunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT) 2 nunogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for Pertussis 2 Immunogenicity to Tetanus 2 Immunogenicity to Tetanus 2 Immunogenicity to Tetanus 2 Immunogenicity to Diphtheria 2 cacy for Pertussis 2 Immunogenicity to Diphtheria 2 e ACEL-IMUNE Vaccine 2 Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT) 2 nogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for Pertussis 2 Immunogenicity to Tetanus 2 Immunogenicity to Diphtheria 2 Immunogenicity to Diphtheria 2 cacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Study 2 cacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study 2		Were Administered
Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)nunogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to PertussisImmunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)Immunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Studycacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study	Acellular Pertussis in the Tripedia Vaccine	2, 4 and 6 months
Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)aunogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to PertussisImmunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)Immunogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to DiphtheriaImmunogenicity to PertusImmunogenicity to PertusImmunogenicity to DiphtheriaImmunogenicity to P	Percent Efficacy	81
annogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for Pertussiscacy for Pertussiscacy for Pertussiscacy for Pertussiscacy for Pertussisfor Pertussiscacy for Pertussisfor whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Studycacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study		66
Immunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for Pertussiscacy for Pertussiscacy for PertussisImmunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)Immunogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to DiphtheriaImmunogenicity to Tribedia StudyImmunogenicity to Tribedia Study	Percent Who Developed Immunogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for Pertussis	86
Immunogenicity to Diphtheriacacy for Pertussiscacy for Pertussiscacy for Pertussise ACEL-IMUNE Vaccinee ACEL-IMUNE VaccineImmunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to DiphtheriaImmunogenicity to Diphtheriathe DTP Vaccinecacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Studycacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study		06
cacy for Pertussiscacy for Pertussise ACEL-IMUNE Vaccinemmunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to Diphtheriathe DTP Vaccinecacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Studycacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study		90
te ACEL-IMUNE Vaccine		80
te ACEL-IMUNE Vaccine Immunogenicity to Pactussis Toxin (PT) Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (FHA) for Pertussis Immunogenicity to Pertussis Immunogenicity to Tetanus Immunogenicity to Tetanus Immunogenicity to Diphtheria Immunogenicity to Diphtheria the DTP Vaccine Immunogenicity to Diphtheria cacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Study Immunogenic Study		
Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)Inogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to DiphtheriaImmunogenicity to Diphtheriathe DTP Vaccinecacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Studycacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study	Acellular Pertussis in the ACEL-IMUNE Vaccine	
Immunogenicity to Pertussis Toxin (PT)Inogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to DiphtheriaImmunogenicity to Diphtheriathe DTP Vaccinecacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Studycacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study	Percent Efficacy	81
Inogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for PertussisImmunogenicity to TetanusImmunogenicity to DiphtheriaImmunogenicity to Diphtheriathe DTP Vaccinecacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Studycacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study	· · · ·	67
Immunogenicity to Tetanus Immunogenicity to Diphtheria the DTP Vaccine cacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Study cacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study	Percent Who Developed Immunogenicity to Filamentous Hemagglutinin (FHA) for Pertussis	80
Immunogenicity to Diphtheria the DTP Vaccine cacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Study cacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study		100
the DTP Vaccine cacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Study cacv for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study		86
the DTP Vaccine cacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Study cacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study		
cacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Study cacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study		
cacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study	In Germany, Percent Efficacy for whole-cell DTP in the Tripedia Study	95
	In Germany, Percent Efficacy for whole-cell DTP in the ACEL-IMUNE Study	91

ography

rts. Perussis Vaccination: Use WR Recommendations and cellular Pertussis Vaccines .cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/ Iren Recommendations e Advisory Committee **nmunization** Practices ng Infants and Young shed March 28, 1997. /rhtml/00048610.htm P). cdc.gov. https://



Student Worksheet

Study the provided data sets and write down three statistical questions.

1.

2.

3.

Now, choose one question and use the provided data sets to create a graph that answers your question.

